

OCT 25 2006



OSHA • LIANG LLP

www.oshaliang.com

Houston - Silicon Valley - Paris

One Houston Center • Suite 2800
1221 McKinney Street
Houston, Texas 77010
Tel: 713.228.8600
Fax: 713.228.8778

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE: October 25, 2006

FILE NUMBER: 03226/073001

TO: Examiner T. H. Stevens

FAX NUMBER: 571-273-3715 (Examiner Courtesy
Copy)
571-273-8300
(Central Fax)

FROM: Seema M. Mehta

PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 7

RE: Proposed Claim Amendments to be entered with filed RCE and Reasons for Examiner
Interview for Application Serial No. 10/010,238

☐ URGENT

☒ FOR REVIEW

☐ PLEASE COMMENT

☐ PLEASE REPLY

☐ PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This document (including any attachments) may contain privileged or confidential information. In the event that this document has been sent to you in error, or otherwise has been misdirected, please call the sender COLLECT at 713.228.8600 to arrange for its prompt return or destruction. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

OCT 25 2006

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 10/010,238-Conf. #5843 First Named Applicant: Miriam G. Blatt
Examiner: T. H. Stevens Art Unit: 2123 Status of Application: Published**Tentative Participants:**(1) Examiner Stevens (2) Seema M. Mehta, Reg. No. 56,235
(3) _____ (4) _____Proposed Date of Interview: TBD Proposed Time: TBD (AM/PM)**Type of Interview Requested:**(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues (Rej., Obj., etc) | Claims/ Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) <u>103(a)</u> | <u>1, 5, 10</u> | <u>Hurd</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**See attached Interview Agenda and Proposed Claim Amendments

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature_____
Examiner/SPE SignatureSeema Mehta_____
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative56,235_____
Registration Number, if applicable

Examiner Interview Agenda

Application Serial No. 10/010,238

To: Examiner T. H. Stevens

Date and Time of Examiner Interview: TBD

During the Examiner Interview, Applicant would like to discuss the following:

1. A review of the claimed invention;
2. The attached proposed claim amendments;
3. Prior Art referenced Hurd;

Applicant would like to discuss how the cited portion of Hurd (i.e., Table 2) teaches power values that are reported in the single cycle summary data, multi-cycle summary data, and multi-cycle derivative summary data. Applicant's reading of Hurd suggests that Hurd only teaches current values shown during particular types of instructions that are performed on the processor. It appears that Hurd fails to teach or suggest power values such as those recited in the proposed amended claims.

Thus, Applicant would like to discuss whether the proposed claim amendments overcome the Boblio and Hurd combination in order to move this application forward in prosecution.

In view of the Request for Continued Examination filed in this case on 10/20/06, which stated that the RCE submission would correspond to claim amendments decided via an Examiner Interview, Applicant hereby attaches an Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form.